

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/643,925	08/20/2003	Hiroyuki Yamada	056205.48558C1	4766
23911 7590 10/09/2007 CROWELL & MORING LLP INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY GROUP			EXAMINER	
			MILLER, CARL STUART	
P.O. BOX 14300 WASHINGTON, DC 20044-4300			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
		·	3747	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			10/09/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/643,925	YAMADA ET AL.
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
	Carl S. Miller	3747
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap	pears on the cover sheet v	vith the correspondence address
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING E - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statut Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailir earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 22.4	DATE OF THIS COMMUN 136(a). In no event, however, may a will apply and will expire SIX (6) MO le, cause the application to become Ang date of this communication, even the saction is non-final.	ICATION. reply be timely filed NTHS from the mailing date of this communication. NBANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). If timely filed, may reduce any .
closed in accordance with the practice under		
Application Papers 4) □ Claim(s) 11-14,26,28-31 and 35 is/are pending 4a) Of the above claim(s) 31 is/are withdrawn 5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) □ Claim(s) 11-14, 26, 28-30 and 35 is/are reject 7) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/of the specification is objected to by the Examing 10) □ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) □ accomplicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) □ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examing 11) □ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examing 11) □ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examing 11) □ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examing 11) □ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examing 11 objected to by the Examing 12 objected to by the Examing 12 objected to by the Examing 13 objected to by the Examing 14 objected to	from consideration. ted. or election requirement. er. cepted or b) objected to e drawing(s) be held in abeya ction is required if the drawin	ance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). g(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority document application from the International Bureat * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	nts have been received. Its have been received in ority documents have bee au (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	Application No n received in this National Stage
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	Paper No	Summary (PTO-413) o(s)/Mail Date Informal Patent Application

Application/Control Number: 10/643,925

Art Unit: 3747

Claim 31 remains withdrawn from consideration as drawn to non-elected species of the invention. The applicant should note that Claim 31 in particular does not appear to read on the elected species of Figure 11.

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 11-13, 26, and 28-30 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Kraemer in view of Wilber.

Kraemer, as noted in earlier office actions, teaches a fuel injection pump with all of the applicant's claimed features except the second spring biasing the holder in the outward position and thereby causing the inlet valve to be held open. While this feature is not taught by Kraemer, the mechanism is held open by some manner since the activation of the solenoid appears to retract the holder, thereby allowing the check valve to close.

Wilber (UK('941)) teaches a check valve that includes a ball valve biased by a first spring and a larger spring that biases a holder in a direction to hold the check valve open. The solenoid is used to overcome the bias of the second spring and allow the check valve to close.

It would have been obvious to modify Kraemer by constructing the check valve as taught by Wilber because the latter valve was also used as an injection timing device by allowing fluid to be released from a timing chamber as opposed to the pumping chamber

Claims 14 and 35 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Kraemer and Wilber as applied to claim11 above, and further in view of Yasuhara.

Art Unit: 3747

Yasuhara teaches the use of an injection pump inlet solenoid valve that does not set the beginning of injection, but instead closes at a fixed time. This is possible because the timing is set by rotation of the pump cam mechanism Furthermore, the inlet solenoid of the device is mounted on the side of the pumping plunger.

It would have been obvious to modify Kraemer by using the inlet solenoid to set only the end of injection since it was known in the art to use other means to set the beginning of injection even in a system having a solenoid-controlled inlet. Yasuhara's location for the solenoid shaft was well known in the art and the examiner cannot see how changing the orientation of the Kraemer inlet valve would have had any effect upon the operation of the device. Furthermore, the applicant does not really identify (in his remarks) any improvement resulting from his location.

Applicant's arguments filed 8/22/07 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. In particular, the examiner has again reviewed applicant's comments regarding the Kraemer reference and does not find them convincing. While the examiner is willing to admit that the reference includes some inconsistencies, it is not critical whether or not the pin is spring-loaded to push the pin forward or backward. One of ordinary skill in the art would realize that either way the solenoid can be used to open and close the valve. While the examiner still believes that the tappet of the Kraemer device is the equivalent of applicant's large spring in that it acts to hold the inlet valve open by forcing the holder element against the smaller spring, even if the device works in the other direction the secondary reference to Wilbur clearly teaches the

Art Unit: 3747

use of a larger spring to hold the ball valve open and an armature used to retract the rod and allow the ball to close.

With regard to Claim 35, the Yasuhara reference has now been applied against the claim and clearly teaches an inlet solenoid and piston oriented as claimed.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Carl S. Miller whose telephone number is 571-272-4849. The examiner can normally be reached on MTWTHF.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Steve Cronin, can be reached on 571-272-4536. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Primary Examina: